



1215 Houbolt Road  
Joliet, IL 60431



8550 West Bryn Mawr Avenue  
Suite #500  
Chicago, Illinois 60631  
Telephone 773-695-3500  
Facsimile 773-695-3501

January 19, 2012

To: **All Bidders**

Re: **Joliet Junior College, Illinois Community College District No. 525  
Master Facilities Plan Phase 2, 2012 Site Work, Bid Release 29  
1215 Houbolt Road, Joliet, Illinois 60431**

**02P – Site Demolition, Grading, Paving & Utilities Work**

Subject: **Supplement No. 2**

Please be advised of the following supplemental information which is to be included in the Proposal as it pertains to the above referenced Bid Packages. This Supplement is issued to modify, explain and/or clarify the original Bid Documents.

**General Instructions to All Bidders**

- A. The Bid Proposal due date for all Bid Packages REMAINS Wednesday, January 25, 2012 at 9:00 a.m. at Joliet Junior College, 1215 Houbolt Road, Joliet, Illinois 60431. The bids are to be dropped off at Room A3102 and they will be opened in Room T1002.**
- B. All bidders are reminded that by submitting a bid, the bidder agrees to the content of the Bid Forms, Site Logistics Plan, and Safety Plan provided with the Bid Documents.**
- C. Please note the Bid Bond is to be drawn in favor of Joliet Junior College, Illinois Community College District No. 525 of Joliet, Illinois.**
- D. Incorporate into the bid documents the revised bid forms for BP 02P – Site Demolition, Grading, Paving & Utilities Work. Please discard previously issued bid form.**
- E. Incorporate into the bid documents the following supplemental sketches issued by Ruettiger, Tonelli & Associates - CSK-001A, CSK-001B, CSK-001C, CSK-001D, CSK-002, CSK-003A and CSK-003B.**
- F. Incorporate into bid documents following clarifications to the Project Volume 1:
  - 1. Add to the Project Volume 1, Section 6- Logistics Plan, Site Plan identifying the staging area.**
- G. Incorporate into bid documents the responses to the following bidder inquiries into the scope of work:**

**Bid Package 02P – Site Demolition, Grading, Paving & Utilities Work**

- 1. **Question:** In reference to question # 7 and your response, we cannot figure 75% recycled asphalt in the base bid. IDOT would never allow such a design as it would be totally unstable mix. The alternate established eliminates recycling of material. We want to meet IDOT specifications in the base bid. Please clarify.
  - i. **Response:** The intent of the base bid documents is for diversion of 75% of construction waste from the landfills and NOT to reuse the demolished asphalt or concrete in the new mix. For the new mix design follow the requirements in the bid documents.
- 2. **Question:** Could you send a detail for the concrete path and also for the concrete aprons?
  - ii. **Response:** Refer to the attached supplemental sketches.

- 
3. **Question:** Tree removal is being identified on the drawings, could you give the bidders a more precise quantity of the tree removal?
    - iii. **Response:** Further Site Inspection indicates that no tree removal is anticipated.
  4. **Question:** Could you verify the specifications on the silt fence. Due to the fact the erosion will be minimal, is there a reason to use a mesh back silt fence?
    - iv. **Response:** Wire mesh is not required.
  5. **Question:** Regarding the electrical cable feeding the lights –a) Is it the intent to splice additional wire onto the existing feeder cables to accommodate the possibility of the cables being short when reconnected to the poles at the new locations? This would be assuming the existing is direct burial cable. B) When moving the poles back approx. 3 feet, the cables may be short. The bid only asks for 50 ft min. on the cable quantity. Will the entire cable runs be replaced?
    - v. **Response:** Refer to the attached supplemental sketches.
  6. **Question:** Could you verify that the graphical sign installation is the responsibility of the contractor?
    - vi. **Response:** Relocation of the graphical ‘wayfinding’ signs is the responsibility of the contractor.
  7. **Question:** Are the light poles being relocated to be re-installed in new location or are we replacing with new light poles and LED light fixtures?
    - vii. **Response:** Relocate the existing poles/lights. No new poles/lights are proposed.
  8. **Question:** After we reroute conduit to new light pole location due we propose new wire back to existing light pole or can we install hand hole and splice light pole feeders?
    - viii. **Response:** Refer to the attached supplemental sketches.
  9. **Question:** I assume that the details for the graphic signs on sheets C48.00-C51.00 are shown for reference only for signs that are to be relocated, and that there are no new graphic signs to be installed. Please verify.
    - ix. **Response:** The scope of this bid package only includes the relocation of the existing ‘wayfinding’ signage.
  10. **Question:** Do you have the name of the sign contractor that installed the existing “wayfinding” signs?
    - x. **Response:** Express Signs and Lighting Maintenance, Inc.  
212 Amendodge, Shorewood, IL 60401  
Contact – Ed Hartsell  
Phone – 815-725-9080  
Email – [signs@expsigns.com](mailto:signs@expsigns.com); [ed@expsigns.com](mailto:ed@expsigns.com)
  11. **Question:** The drawings indicate there may be a conflict with existing underground electric and fiber optic cables. Will JJC locate the cables and move them prior to starting the work? Relocation of the cables could cause a major delay in the project.
    - xi. **Response:** Refer to the attached supplemental sketches. The relocation of the underground electric will be responsibility of this Contractor and is required to be included in the base bid.

All other terms and conditions of the Bid Documents remain the same.

Acknowledge receipt of this Supplement No. 2 in your Proposal Form.

Sincerely,

***GILBANE BUILDING COMPANY***

*Roz Thomas*

Roz Thomas  
Senior Purchasing Agent