

Mihelich stated that he thought this bid was for remodeling of 56 classrooms. Mr. Agazzi stated that we had originally thought we could renovate over 100, but when we cut back the budget we could only do a phase I and also look to see how the bids came in for this piece. SLT has not discussed what we will do with the money left or if we go into a phase II for classroom remodeling or put this money into another part of the program. Trustee Mihelich asked the Board if they favored going after the 100 classrooms as a first priority. Trustee O'Connell commented that we are currently concerned about making sure that budgets are balanced so we need to make sure we have enough money to do the first phase right and then see how everything else progresses. There will be other costs associated with costs like wiring and technology. Mr. Agazzi stated that our original intention was to devote \$3 million to this project and see how far it would take us. Trustee May asked what the total number of classrooms was for this project. Mr. Agazzi indicated that we will be having a meeting next week where we will see other costs associated with this remodeling project and have a better idea of what the total costs will be for the project and had identified as many as 150 classrooms originally. Trustee May commented that with the budget reallocation presentation he understood that this project had changed. Mr. Agazzi indicated that with the presentation the thought was that we would only have enough money for classroom renovations for this summer. Trustee May commented that his understanding is that with the recent reallocation of budget funds we are well within the budgeted amount. Mr. Agazzi indicated that the remainder of funds could go on for a Phase II of classroom renovation or used in another area of this budget. Trustee May indicated that he understands that we really do not know how many additional classrooms could be renovated at this point, but another 25 would be a good estimate. Mr. Agazzi indicated that he really is unsure of the total cost of this project at this point, but would think that another 25 classrooms would be possible with the dollars left if that is how we want to use that money. Mr. Agazzi indicated that we could do the renovations a little slower and do them through the annual capital improvement plan. Trustee DeLaney asked where the 56 classrooms were located. Mr. Agazzi indicated all of these classrooms are located at the main campus. Trustee Dystrup stated that it appears that it is not a matter of funding rather the inability to free up all 100 classrooms at one time, so the other 50 classrooms would be done next year. Mr. Agazzi indicated that he wanted to be very clear on this statement. This budget was revised and did say that we would be reducing the number of classrooms renovated based on the bid numbers that came in for this project. We now have the bids in for the project and feel that we will be able to do a few additional classrooms next summer. Trustee May commented that logistically we can only do so many classrooms at one time and understands that we now have a plan in place to renovate classrooms over the next three or so years. Trustee Mihelich commented that if we had a vision for 150 classrooms and now with the budget reduction for this project and reallocation of funds it has changed to about 75 classrooms allocated within the revised budget for the project. What other things are we competing against for the completion of the original 150 classrooms and requested further information to help the Board decide if they favor using money for classroom renovations or using the money

for other projects. Mr. Agazzi indicated that we will be discussing the variances with the Building and Grounds committee each month and reporting this information to the Board at least quarterly. The motion **carried** unanimously. Ayes: May, Mihelich, O'Connell, DeLaney, Dystrup, and Wunderlich.

3.0
Child
Center

Trustee May moved, seconded by Trustee Dystrup that the Board of Trustees of Joliet Junior College approve the bid for the early childhood center renovations, bid release five. The total amount of budget for this bid was \$450,000 and the bids came in just under budget after we added the furniture for this project Trustee Mihelich asked where the funds for this bid were coming from for the project. Mr. Agazzi indicated the money was coming from the student bond. Trustee Mihelich complimented administration for staying within budget and accomplishing everything that was needed for the project. The motion **carried** unanimously. Ayes: May, Mihelich, O'Connell, DeLaney, Dystrup and Wunderlich

4.0
Construction
Material
Testing &
Inspection

Trustee May moved seconded by Trustee O'Connell that the Board of Trustees of Joliet Junior College approve the bid for construction material testing and inspection services. Trustee Mihelich asked where the funds for this bid were coming from for this project. Mr. Agazzi indicated the money was coming from the student bond and the amount is right at the budget amount because we asked for a not to exceed amount. Trustee Mihelich complimented administration on staying within budget on this bid item. Chairman Wunderlich asked what the contractor will be testing and understands that all the materials to be used were specified on the spec sheets and everyone will be required to do submittals. Mr. Kraskawicz indicated that there are submittals specified in the project specifications that we obtain from all subcontractors. Gilbane reviews and approves them and then they are sent to the architect for their review and approval. In addition in the specifications there is criteria for testing such as when you are moving earth before you do pavement you want to check that the subgrade is appropriate before you start building on top of it and we have an independent testing lab verify this specification. When you cast concrete, you need to take cylinders of concrete as those are cured out and they are taken to a lab for a crush test where they measure the strength of the concrete to make sure that it meets specifications. Welds will be checked on steel work and things of that nature. So whatever is in the specifications in addition and separate from the submittals that is what the testing agency will be field verifying. Chairman Wunderlich asked what happens if they have a concrete test and it is not up to specifications. Mr. Kraskawicz indicated that everything is categorized in terms of each pour by each cement truck, so we will do cylinder breaks at seven days, 28 days, and if necessary at 56 days. Concrete requires 28 days to cure out and if it doesn't meet the compressive rate we will reserve it to the 56 day point as it continues to gain strength over time. If it doesn't there are additional field tests that can be done for that batch of concrete and ultimately if it does not meet specifications the contractor is held responsible and is cut out and redone. The motion **carried** unanimously. Ayes: May, Mihelich, O'Connell, DeLaney, Dystrup and Wunderlich.

5.0
Adjourn

Trustee DeLaney moved, seconded by Trustee May for the meeting to adjourn at 12:23 p.m. The motion **carried** unanimously. Ayes: DeLaney, Dystrup, May, Mihelich, O'Connell, and Wunderlich.